



Dr Renato Ivan de Ávila

Scientist – Human Safety Unilever Safety and Environmental Assurance Centre (SEAC)







Agenda

- Assessing ingredient & product safety without animal testing
- Skin allergy risk assessment evolution
- Use of Skin Sensitisation Adverse Outcome Pathway (AOP) to develop NAMs
- Next generation risk assessment (NGRA) framework for skin allergy
- Skin allergy Risk Assessment (SARA) model
- Case study: 0.02% (200ppm) geraniol in a face cream
- Conclusions & Next Steps



Assessing ingredient & product safety without animal testing

Next Generation Risk Assessment (NGRA)



Is it safe to include x% of chemical y in product z?









TOXICITY TESTING IN THE 21ST CENTURY: A VISION AND STRATEGY



USING 21ST CENTURY SCIENCE TO IMPROVE RISK-RELATED EVALUATIONS





Skin allergy risk assessment evolution

Unilever



Success in skin allergy NGRA - NAMs aligned to skin sensitisation AOP



Unilever

Skin allergy risk assessment evolution

SCCS 12th Notes of Guidance, 2023



Next generation risk assessment framework for skin sensitisation



Gilmour et al. Development of a next generation risk assessment framework for the evaluation of skin sensitisation of cosmetic ingredients. Regul. Toxicol. Pharmacol. 116, 2020.



Next generation risk assessment (NGRA) framework for skin allergy



- Our NGRA framework for skin allergy is based upon the International Cooperation on Cosmetics Regulation (ICCR) principles¹ and the previously published NGRA frameworks for systemic tox {Safety Evaluation Ultimately Replacing Animal Testing, SEURAT-1}² and skin allergy {Cosmetic Europe}³.
- Designed to use a WoE based upon all available information, accommodates range of consumer product exposure scenarios and can provide a quantitative point of departure (PoD) and risk metric:
 - \rightarrow Skin Allergy Risk Assessment (SARA) Model

Unilever

¹Dent et al. Principles underpinning the use of new methodologies in the risk assessment of cosmetic ingredients. Comput. Toxicol. 7, 20–26, 2018. ²Berggren et al. Ab initio chemical safety assessment: A workflow based on exposure considerations and non-animal methods. Comput. Toxicol. 4, 31–44, 2017. ³Gilmour et al.. Development of a next generation risk assessment framework for the evaluation of skin sensitisation of cosmetic ingredients. Regul. Toxicol. Pharmacol. 116, 2020.

Introduction to the

Skin allergy Risk Assessment (SARA) model



Skin Allergy Risk Assessment (SARA) model

SARA Model Input Data Sources

- Historical Local Lymph Node Assay (LLNA) data
- Historical Human Repeated Insult Patch Test (HRIPT) data
- In vitro data: DPRA (OECD TG442C), KeratinoSens™ (OECD TG 442D), h-CLAT (OECD TG 442E), U-SENS™ (OECD TG 442E)

SARA Model Output Data Sources

- Point of Departure (PoD) termed the ED₀₁ the expected dose at which there is a 1% chance of skin sensitisation in a human (HRIPT) population
- Risk metric p(low risk) of a given chemical exposure
- Defined approach (DA) to provide potency and risk information based upon NAMs
- A Bayesian statistical approach which can make potency and risk predictions using any combination of historical *in vivo* (LLNA, HRIPT) or NAMs (DPRA, KeratinoSens[™], h-CLAT and U-SENS[™]) – <u>curated database</u> of 81 chemicals
- Skin sensitiser potency is expressed as the ED₀₁, the dose estimated to induce sensitisation in 1% of a HRIPT population. This is the Point of Departure (PoD) for the risk assessment.
- Risk metric: SARA model also makes use of benchmark exposures to infer a probability that a consumer exposure to a chemical is 'low risk'



Reynolds et al. Probabilistic prediction of human skin sensitiser potency for use in next generation risk assessment. Comput. Toxicol. 9, 36–49, 2019. Reynolds et al. Decision making in next generation risk assessment for skin allergy: Using historical clinical experience to benchmark risk. Regul. Toxicol. Pharmacol. 134, 2022.

(10)

Potency across the SARA database - PoDs



This graph gives the ED₀₁ and quantified uncertainty (the dot with the 50% and 95% confidence intervals denoted by the thick and thin lines either side)



Use of consumer exposure information and clinical evidence to develop skin allergy risk benchmarks

62 low or high risk benchmark exposures using 10 human skin allergens (e.g. MCI/MI) with an established history of use in 7 cosmetic product types.

Example

Unilever

Material	Draduct type	Use level (ppm)	Consumer exposure to	Induction
	Product type		benchmark product (ng cm-2)	risk
MCI/MI*	Dee	30	350	HIGH
,	Deo	7.5	87.8	HIGH
	Eaco croam	30	100	HIGH
	race cream	7.5	25	HIGH
	Padulation	30	18	HIGH
	Body totion	7.5	4	HIGH
	Liquid hand soap	15	7.3	LOW
	Shampoo	15	1.1	LOW
	Shower gel	15	0.2	LOW

*MCI/MI = Methylisothiazolinone/methylchloroisothiazolinone

- Probabilistic estimates of the MoE corresponding to each benchmark exposure at specific exposure level.
- Background colours indicate assigned risk category:
 - blue: low risk,
 - orange: high risk
- Shaded colours indicate the model-inferred risk. Ranking based on the median margin of exposure.

Margin of exposure (MoE) calculation (PoD/Exposure)



Skin Allergy Risk Assessment (SARA) Model Case Study

• 0.02% (200ppm) geraniol in a face cream

	Regulatory Toxicology and Pharmacology 131 (2022) 105159				
ELSEVIER	Contents lists available at ScienceDirect Regulatory Toxicology and Pharmacology journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/yrtph	Regulatory Toxicology and Pharmacology			
Next generation new approach N. Gilmour [*] , J. R R. Rajagopal, G. F Unilever Safety and Environme	on risk assessment for skin allergy: Decision making using methodologies eynolds, K. Przybylak, M. Aleksic, N. Aptula, M.T. Baltazar, R. Cubberley, Reynolds, S. Spriggs, C. Thorpe, S. Windebank, G. Maxwell ntal Assurance Centre, Colworth Science Park, Sharnbrook, Bedfordshire, MK44 1LQ, UK				
ARTICLEINFO	A B S T R A C T				
Handling Editor: Dr. Martin V Keywords: Skin allergy risk assessment Case study Next generation risk assessm Non-animal test methods NAM Uncertainty Decision making	Van den berg Our aim is to develop and apply next generation approaches to skin allergy risk assessment (S require new animal test data and better quantify uncertainties. Significant progress has b development of New Approach Methodologies (NAMs), non-amimal test methods, for assessment tisation and there is now focus on their application to derive potency information for use in Nex Assessment (NGRA). The SARA model utilises a Bayesian statistical approach to infer a human-sensitiser potency and a measure of risk associated with a given consumer exposure based upon of human repeat insult patch test, local lymph node, direct petide reactivity assay, KeratinoS U-SENS™ data. Here we have applied the SARA model within our weight of evidence NGRA fr allergy to three case study materials in four consumer products. Highlighting how to structur ment, apply NAMs to derive a point of departure and conclude on consumer safety risk. NGRA H were, for these exposures, at least as protective as the historical risk assessment application gue are building our confidence in using NAMs for skin allergy risk assessment.	Our aim is to develop and apply next generation approaches to skin allergy risk assessment (SARA) that do not require new animal test data and better quantify uncertainties. Significant progress has been made in the development of New Approach Methodolgies (NAMs), non-animal test methods, for assessment of skin sensi- tisation and there is now focus on their application to derive potency information for use in Next Generation Risk Assessment (NGRA). The SARA model utilises a Bayesian statistical approach to infor a human-relevant metric of sensitiser potency and a measure of risk associated with a given consumer exposure based upon any combination of human repeat insult patch test, local lymph node, direct peptide reactivity assay, KeratinoSens ^{NM} , h-CLAT or U-SENS ^{NM} data. Here we have applied the SARA model within our weight of evidence NGRA framework for skin allergy to three case study materials in four consumer products. Highlighting how to structure the risk assessment, apply NAMs to derive a point of departure and conclude on consumer safety risk. NGRA based upon NAMs were, for these exposures, at least as protective as the historical risk assessment.			



Application of the NGRA framework for Skin Allergy



• Our NGRA framework is applied to a hypothetical skin allergy assessment of a consumer product:

\rightarrow 0.02% (200ppm) geraniol in a face cream.

Unilever

 For the purposes of the case study, historical in vivo data and read-across were not used, and the use of dermal sensitisation threshold was not appropriate.

(14)

Local exposure + Collate Existing Information/ Problem Formulation

Collate Existin Problem Fo	g Information/ prmulation	Geraniol CAS 106-24-1		DEREK NEXUS	Alert – terpenoid EC3 model – 20% (weak)
Dermal Exposure	Hazard data Chemical identity	Product type	Face cream	TIMES-SS v.2.30.1.11 Skin Sensitisation	Parent – Non sensitiser (in domain) Metabolites – Strong sensitiser- after
Consumer Habits and Practices	In silico predictions	Product used per day (90 th percentile) (g/day)	1.54	model with autoxidation	autoxidation to disubstituted a,b-unsaturated aldehydes, Weak sensitiser after autooxidation to hydroperoxides
Applied Dose	analogues Historical <i>in vivo</i> data (LLNA/HRIPT)	Ingredient inclusion level (%)	0.02	ToxTree v.3.1.0	Alert for Schiff base formation
	Historical <i>in vivo</i> data (GMPT/HMT)	Skin surface area face (cm²)	565		Protein binding by OECD
	History of use / clinical data	Leave-on or Rinse-off	Leave- on	OFCD OSAR Toolbox	Parent - No alert found
•		Local dermal exposure (µg/cm ²) *Scientific Committee On Consumer Safety (SCCS), 2021. The SCCS Note Testing of Cosmetic Ingredients and Thier Safety Evaluation. 1	0.544 is of Guidance for the 1th Revision.	v.4.4	Skin Metabolites (2) - Direct Acting Schiff Base Formers >> Di- substituted alpha, beta-unsaturated

- Geraniol is a reactive chemical and likely to be a skin sensitiser due to activation to a chemical capable of forming a Schiff base.
- Confidence in this prediction is high based upon chemical prediction consensus from all applied *in silico* tools.
- Data generation needs:
 - Assuming an abiotic activation mechanism (autoxidation), peptide reactivity profiling data should be generated to test this hypothesis. An estimation of potency is required to enable risk assessment for this exposure.



➤ To enable a potency prediction using the SARA model DPRA, KeratinoSens[™], h-CLAT and U-SENS[™] data should also be generated.

Data Generation

Data	Reactivity Profiling	DPRA	KeratinoSens™	h-CLAT	U-SENS™
Generation	(Aleksic et al., 2009 [*])	(OECD TG442C**)	(OECD TG 442D**)	(OECD TG 442E ^{**})	(OECD TG 442E ^{**})
Peptide reactivity profiling Metabolism Peptide reactivity kinetics DPRA KeratinoSens h-CLAT USENS	Cys (no adducts, 73.7%) Lys (no adducts, 3.5%) His (no adducts, -11.1%) Arg (double Schiff base, 15.2%) Tyr (no adducts, 8.2%) N-term (acylation, Schiff base, 40.2%) Ala (no adducts, -2.1%)	Negative Cys depletion 0% Lys depletion 10%	Positive EC _{1.5} 110 μM EC ₃ >2000 μM IC ₅₀ 875 μM	Positive CD86 EC ₁₅₀ 123 μg ml ⁻¹ CD54 EC ₂₀₀ - μg ml ⁻¹ CV ₇₅ 140 μg ml ⁻¹	Positive CD86 EC ₁₅₀ 53.6 μg ml ⁻¹ CV ₇₀ 113.9 μg ml ⁻¹

- Geraniol was confirmed to be a **reactive chemical (Schiff base following autoxidation)** by peptide profiling where adducts consistent with formation of Schiff bases following oxidative activation were observed with the Arginine and N-terminus peptide.
- Geraniol demonstrated minimal depletion of Cys and Lys in the DPRA, which is consistent with the reactivity profiling data. Positive responses were evident in the KeratinoSens[™], h-CLAT and U-SENS[™].
- Thus, geraniol is a skin sensitiser via Schiff base formation.
- Next step: determination of the PoD, i.e. the human potency $(ED_{01}) \rightarrow SARA$ model

Unilever

*Aleksic et al.. Reactivity profiling: covalent modification of single nucleophile peptides for skin sensitization risk assessment. Toxicol. Sci. 108, 401–411, 2009. **DPRA, KeratinoSens™, h-CLAT and USENS™ data were sourced from the Cosmetics Europe database (Hoffmann et al. Non-animal methods to predict skin sensitization (I): the Cosmetics Europe database, Crit. Rev. Toxicol. 48, 344–358, 2018).

Determine Point of departure using SARA DA



- The generated DPRA, KeratinoSens[™], h-CLAT and U-SENS[™] data were used as inputs into the SARA model to define a **human relevant PoD (ED₀₁ i.e the 1% sensitising dose for a HRIPT population)**.
- For geraniol (NAM data only), the expected ED₀₁ is 4,500 µg cm⁻² (2.5th percentile: 180 µg cm⁻², 97.5th percentile: 96,000 µg cm⁻²).
- Geraniol ranks with eugenol, which at least based upon LLNA data is reported to be of moderate potency

SARA model: partial datasets



- The SARA model can make predictions based upon any combination of the DPRA, KeratinoSens[™], h-CLAT and U-SENS[™] data.
- Predictions made using just KeratinoSens[™] or h-CLAT data yielded a marginally higher expected potency (lower ED₀₁) compared with the predictions made using just DPRA or U-SENS[™] data.
- Combining data increases the precision in the estimate of potency (reduced uncertainty).





Determine MoE/Acceptable Exposure Level + NGRA conclusion



Unilever

- The MoE was calculated from the ED₀₁ for geraniol and the dermal exposure for 0.02% geraniol in a face cream using SARA DA
- The MoE for 0.02% geraniol face cream exposure ranks with the low-risk benchmarks.
- The SARA DA probability that this exposure is low risk is calculated to be 0.95. Thus, there is a 95% probability that this exposure is low risk.
- Geraniol used at 0.02% (200 ppm) in a face cream is low risk for induction of skin sensitisation

Conclusions & Next Steps

- Significant progress has been made in the last decade to apply non-animal experimental data using Defined Approaches (DAs) & tiered frameworks.
- Bayesian DAs enable experimental data variability to be modelled and uncertainty in PoDs & risk metrics to be factored into decision-making.
- Ongoing model development to expand the database, further incorporate mechanistic reactivity knowledge and explore new SARA inputs
- Recently published NGRA framework and case studies:
 - ✓ Cosmetic Europe NGRA framework (Gilmour et al., 2020)
 - ✓ Coumarin case study (Reynolds et al., 2021)

Unilever

✓ Unilever NGRA framework and other case studies (Gilmour et al., 2022; Gilmour et al., 2023)



NICEATM-Unilever CRADA



National Toxicology Program U.S. Department of Health and Human Services

NICEATM News - 2021 Issue 25: May 27

In this Newsletter:

NICEATM to Collaborate with Unilever on Development of Predictive Model for Skin Sensitization

NICEATM to Collaborate with Unilever on Development of Predictive Model for Skin Sensitization

NICEATM has entered into an agreement with consumer products company Unilever to collaboratively test and further develop their Skin Allergy Risk Assessment (SARA) predictive model. SARA is a computational model that uses a variety of input data to estimate a probability that a chemical will cause an allergic skin reaction in humans. NICEATM will test the SARA model using a variety of chemical data sets, including chemicals of interest to U.S. and international regulatory agencies. NICEATM and Unilever will also work together to expand the SARA model to include data generated by NICEATM. The intent is to make the SARA model openly available for public use along with other NICEATM predictive models. Availability of the SARA model will help further reduce animal use for the endpoint of skin sensitization, and will improve upon existing efforts by providing points of departure for quantitative human risk assessment.

Information about other NICEATM projects to evaluate alternatives to animal use for skin sensitization is available at https://ntp.niehs.nih.gov/go/ACDtest.



Reference: <u>Reynolds et al.</u> Probabilistic prediction of human skin sensitizer potency for use in next generation risk assessment. Comput Toxiol 9:36-49. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.comtox.2018.10.004</u>

- Unilever-NICEATM CRADA partnership is developing a publicly available version of SARA , the SARA-ICE model (coming in 2024), for hazard, GHS potency classification, and point of departure for use in risk assessment.
- The SARA-ICE Model is currently under evaluation by the OECD DASS WG for incorporation into OECD TG 497.

AFSA Master Class

https://www.afsacollaboration.org/masterclass



MASTER CLASS IN ANIMAL-FREE SAFETY ASSESSMENT OF COSMETICS

- Master Class Overview
- Problem Formulation
- Consumer Exposure

- Predictive Chemistry
- Exposure-based Waiving
- Safety of Botanicals / History of Safe Use

Module release date 2023	Online now	Early 2024
0: Intro: course overview		
1: Problem formulation		
2: Consumer exposure		
3: Predictive chemistry		
4a: Exposure-based waiving		
4b: Safety of Botanicals: History of Safe Use		
8: Global regulatory landscape		
5: In vitro data synthesis		
6: Internal Exposure: Dosimetry		
7: Risk assessment		

6 WEBINARS: https://afsa.talentlms.com/index



Acknowledgements

Georgia Reynolds Nicola Gilmour **Joe Reynolds** Maja Aleksic Nora Aptula **Gavin Maxwell** Ramya Rajagopal Sandrine Spriggs **Charlotte Thorpe**

Sam Windebank Katarzyna Przybylak Maria Baltazar Paul Russell Richard Cubberley Matt Dent Carl Westmoreland Julia Fentem



seac.unilever.com

SÉRIE DE WEBINARS EM CIÊNCIA IN VITRO

Jordana Andrade Santo

Tox In/LIEG

AFSA

Atualizações em novas abordagens metodológica aplicadas à segurança humana e ambiental

EVENTO ONLINE GRATUITO EM PORTUGUÊ

04/12/2023 (Line 15:00 – 16:30 UTC/GMT, HORÁRIO DE BRASÍLIA

Biomateriais e tecnologias avançadas para o desenvolvimento de modelos 3D de cultivo celular in vitro

Estrutura de avaliação de risco de última geração para sensibilização dérmica usando novas abordagens metodológicas (NAMs) Moderador:

Prof. Artur Christian G. da Silva (Tox In/UFG)

TOX

BracVAM

