The Journey Towards Confidence --

Bottom-Up PBK Modelling for Benzophenone 4

Hequn Li (Science Leader at Safety and Environmental Assurance Centre, Unilever)







Making safety decisions without generating data in animals



- Regulations ban animal testing of cosmetic products and their ingredients in over 40 countries
- Many of our consumers do not want to buy products associated with animal testing

At Unilever, our products must be safe



From traditional risk assessment to next generation risk assessment





Benzophenone-4 (BP-4) case study: Objectives & Approach

- **BP-4 is an UV-filter ingredient used in sunscreen cosmetics** to prevent sunburns or photodegradation by inhibiting the infiltration of UV light.
- Background and Objective of the case study on BP-4:
 - Work with Cosmetic Europe Long Range Science Strategy (LRSS) on developing new approaches for safety assessment without using animals
 - Unilever led a few case studes within the LRSS, including BP4
 - Objective: to assess whether a tiered NGRA approach is sufficiently protective for making safety decisions

Focus of this presentation
PBK model development of BP-4 based on NAMs to make
estimates of systemic exposure levels in NGRA

PBK modelling platform: GastroPlus v9.8

PBK Modelling Workflow and reporting template: compliant with OECD 2021 and WHO guidance





Exposure assessment:

From topically applied dose to internal concentrations (e.g. C_{max}, AUC)



https://www.afsacollaboration.org/sciencex_eve nt/dosimetry-internal-exposure-ivive/



External applied dose

•5% BP-4 in Sunscreen product

•18g/day, two times, 9g/application, on body and face 17500cm² (Based on SCCS NoG)

- •To closely simulate the real-life use scenarios, it was assumed that European individuals
 - •use this sunscreen body lotion in the daytime
 - •each day apply the first dose (9g) at 9 am and the second dose (9g) at 2 pm
 - •following a meal (fed condition) and take a shower each morning at 7 am

Dosage Form	Dose [mg]	TD Dose Vol [ml]	Start [h]	End [h]	Physiology or .cat file	PBPK Physiology or .pbk file
TD: Liq Soln	450	9	0	22	Human - Physiological - Fed	european individual
TD: Liq Soln	450	9	5	22	Human - Physiological - Fed	european individual
TD: Liq Soln	450	9	24	46	Human - Physiological - Fed	european individual
TD: Liq Soln	450	9	29	46	Human - Physiological - Fed	european individual
TD: Liq Soln	450	9	48	70	Human - Physiological - Fed	european individual
TD: Liq Soln	450	9	53	70	Human - Physiological - Fed	european individual
TD: Liq Soln	450	9	72	94	Human - Physiological - Fed	european individual
TD: Liq Soln	450	9	77	94	Human - Physiological - Fed	european individual
TD: Liq Soln	450	9	96	118	Human - Physiological - Fed	european individual
TD: Liq Soln	450	9	101	118	Human - Physiological - Fed	european individual
TD: Liq Soln	450	9	120	142	Human - Physiological - Fed	european individual
TD: Liq Soln	450	9	125	142	Human - Physiological - Fed	european individual
TD: Liq Soln	450	9	144	166	Human - Physiological - Fed	european individual
TD: Liq Soln	450	9	149	166	Human - Physiological - Fed	european individual
TD: Liq Soln	450	9	168	190	Human - Physiological - Fed	european individual
TD: Liq Soln	450	9	173	190	Human - Physiological - Fed	european individual
TD: Liq Soln	450	9	192	214	Human - Physiological - Fed	european individual



Mixed Multiple Doses (MMD) in GastroPlus to reflect multiple doses of specific amounts at varying intervals.

PhysChem and ADME data generation and parameterisation



Strategy:

- We took a stepwise approach to data generation and refinement,
- using relevant and robust approaches for parameter determination
- support the reliability of input parameters and provide a sound biological basis for the model structure

	Value	Source
Molecular weight	308.3 g/mol	
Log P	1.28	ADMET predictor
рКа	acid 8.89, acid 0.5	ADMET predictor
Fraction unbound in plasma (f_{up})	0.0157	Measured
Blood: plasma ratio	0.6	Measured
Renal excretion	0.11L/h	GFR*Fup



Dermal absorption with ex vivo skin pen data

- Ex vivo skin penetration study designed according to Davis et al. 2011 meeting OECD and SCCS guidance
- BP-4 in relevant formulation (oil in water emulsion)
- Full time course data in skin layers and kinetic in receptor fluid





Michael Davies, Ruth U. Pendlington, Leanne Page, Clive S. Roper, David J. Sanders, Clare Bourner, Camilla K. Pease, Cameron MacKay, Determining Epidermal Disposition Kinetics for Use in an Integrated Nonanimal Approach to Skin Sensitization Risk Assessment, Toxicological Sciences, Volume 119, Issue 2, February 2011, Pages 308–318, https://doi.org/10.1093/toxsci/kfq326

Hepatic clearance

In silico:

BP-4 was predicted to be mainly cleared via liver metabolism

In vitro data:

Primary human hepatocyte assay (using both suspension and plated cells): Hepatic intrinsic clearance <2.5L/h (Below LOQ)





Initial ECCS (Extended Clearance Classification System): Class 1A (Varma et al., 2015)

No metabolism of BP-4 seen in hepatocytes, conflicting with the ECCS Class 1A prediction.



Two hypotheses:

- 1) BP-4 is not a substrate of hepatic enzymes
- 2) BP-4 has low membrane permeability



If BP-4 is not metabolised by the liver – what is the route of elimination? How is BP-4 taken up by the cells?



Follow up assays



Back to problem formulation...

Understanding chemical organ distribution and renal clearance

In silico predictions:

- BP-4 is an anion sulphonate
- Likely to be a substrate of Organic anion transporters (OATs)
- Renal clearance may be higher than GFR*Fup

In vitro 1:

Transporter studies in transfected kidney cells in two different formats

Results:

- Substrate of certain influx transporters and efflux transporters
- All these transporters are expressed in the kidney, related to either active secretion or reabsorption
- OAT-2, BCRP and MRP4 are expressed both in the liver

Transporters	Uptake of efflux?	Substrate?	
OAT1	Uptake	Yes	
OAT2	Uptake	Yes	
OAT3	Uptake	Yes	
OCT2	Uptake	No	
MATE1	Efflux	No	
MATE2-K	Efflux	No	
MRP2	Efflux	No	
MRP4	Efflux	Yes	
MDR1/Pg-p	Efflux	No	
BCRP	Efflux	Yes	
OAT4	Uptake	YES	
OATP1A2	Uptake	Borderline*	
OCTN1	Uptake	NO	
OCTN2	Uptake	NO	
URAT1	Uptake	NO	



Back to problem formulation...

Understanding chemical organ distribution and renal clearance



- BP-4 is an anion sulphonate
- Likely to be a substrate of Organic anion transporters (OATs)
- Renal clearance may be higher
 than GFR*Fup

In vitro 1: Transporter studies in transfected kidney cells in two different assays (uptake assay and vesicular assay)

In vitro 2:

Investigate the bi-directional transport profile in kidney where all the active transporters are present and functional

(aProximate™).



<u>Human aProximate™ platform</u>

- Primary proximal tubule cells (PTCs) derived from fresh human kidneys
- Cultured on semi-permeable filters to form a tight monolayer
- Retains a high degree of differentiation
- Endogenously express a variety of functional proteins and biomarkers

Results:

- Route of elimination in the kidney includes glomerular filtration, active tubular secretion and tubular reabsorption
- Transport in the proximal tubule cells is equally efficient in both directions
- However, donor variability has been observed that in 1 donor, active secretion was shown to be the main excretion route at biologically relevant concentrations



Updated PBK model in GastroPlus

- Set BP-4's distribution to each compartment to be modelled as permeability-limited
- Liver clearance set to 0
- Active transport in the liver was modelled by incorporating kinetic parameters (V_{max}, K_m, Protein expression) for the transporters (OAT-2, BCRP and MRP4).
- Biliary excretion not accounted for to be conservative
- GFR*Fup was used to calculate renal excretion of BP-4, accounting for filtration only to be conservative





Human PBK model structure for BP-4

PBK modelling

for a female European 30 years-old 60 kg bodyweight

BP4-Systemic Exposure-repeat



PK parameter	Value
Bioavailability (%)	0.4
CL _{renal} (L/h)	0.11
Plasma C _{max} (µM)	2.08
AUC _{24h} (ug-h/mL)	1.94
Volumes of distribution at steady state (L)	8.577
t _{1/2} (h)	54.3

Human clinical PK data is not available for model verification

We need to address uncertainty in PBK estimation



14

The output of the uncertainty and sensitivity analyses

	ŀ	4		Uncertainty		
			High	Medium	Low	
ſ				vehicle: water partition coefficient		
		gh		Stratum corneum water partition coefficient		Plasma Cmax
		Hi		Stratum corneum diffusivity		
	ity			Fup		
	itiv	um		K _m OAT2		Low Moderate High reliability reliability reliability
	Sens	Medi				
		1		V _{max} OAT2		
		MO		Epidermis diffusivity		
		Ι		Blood: plasma ratio		
		ا د		Uncontainty		
	C	-		Uncertainty		
		ſ	High	Medium	Low	
ſ				vehicle: water partition coefficient		
		ligh		Stratum corneum water partition coefficient		Kidney intracellular Cmax
	ty			Stratum corneum diffusivity		
	tivi	E		K _m OAT2		
	ensi	ediu		V _{max} OAT2		
	Š	Ň		Fup		
		MC		Blood: plasma ratio		According to WHO/OFCD guidance
		Ľ				

Unilever

15

Probabilistic PBK modelling to account for population variability and parameter uncertainty

Population

Physiological characteristics

- 16-70 years old
- 40-85 kg

• 50% male and 50 % female

• European population

Parameter uncertainty analysis

- Set ranges (distributions) on values of influential parameters based on available information
- For uninfluential parameters, default distributions used

Note: a limitation of this approach is that parameter uncertainty and variability are considered together. Although separation of parameter uncertainty and variability is theoretically possible using hierarchical, population-based models, data are typically inadequate to achieve such a level or granularity

Monte Carlo simulation



Probabilistic PBK modelling + CMED model to account for population, parameter and model uncertainty

To account unknown-unknows e.g. model uncertainty

- C_{max} Error Distribution (CMED): A complementary approach to characterise PBK prediction uncertainty as published in Li et al. 2022 and Middleton et al. 2022.
- This model seeks to quantify the error distribution of estimates of plasma C_{max} by looking at the difference between PBK predictions of C_{max} and existing measured values in human clinicals for several exposure scenarios.
- This model can be used to estimate the distribution of the possible prediction errors for future chemical and exposure scenario.



Deterministic PBK model for female adult 60 kg	Distribution of C _{max} (probabilistic simulation+CMED) (µM)			
Plasma C _{max} point estimate	Median (95% interval)	95 th percentile		
2.1	1.3 (0.11, 15)	9.8		

Li H, Reynolds J, Sorrell I, Sheffield D, Pendlington R, Cubberley R, Nicol B. PBK modelling of topical application and characterisation of the uncertainty of C_{max} estimate: A case study approach. Toxicol Appl Pharmacol. 2022 May 1;442:115992. doi: 10.1016/j.taap.2022.115992. Epub 2022 Mar 25. PMID: 35346730.



Confidence level

WHO questions for assessing the level of confidence in the BP-4 PBK modeling

	level of confidence	level of confidence
Model evaluation aspect	(towards the accuracy)	(towards the conservatism)
Do the model structure and parameters have a reasonable biological basis ?	High	High
How well does the PBK model reproduce the chemical-specific PK data under various experimental or exposure conditions?	Low	High
How reliable is the PBK model with regard to its predictions of dose metrics relevant to risk assessment ?	High	High

Conclusions

- ✓ The stepwise way of data generation and refinement, using relevant and robust approaches for parameter determination, support the reliability of input parameters and provide a sound biological basis for the model structure.
- ✓ Although human clinical data are not available for validation, the sensitivity and uncertainty analyses and the probabilistic modelling performed provided assurance that the predictions are fit for purpose and provides conservative estimates of human systemic exposure.



Acknowledgments

Matt Dent Maria Baltazar Sophie Cable Nicky Hewitt Beate Nicol Joe Reynolds Richard Cubberley Sandrine Spriggs Ruth Pendlington BP4 Consortium Cosmetics Europe/LRSS Case study Leaders Team Pharmacelsus Eurofins SOLVO NewCells

